UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,079	09/09/2003	David Alexander IM	IMR-IMD0002C(034701-067) 9176		
	7590 11/28/200 NIXON PEABODY 1		EXAMINER		
200 Page Mill F	Road		MUSSELMAN, TIMOTHY A		
Palo Alto, CA 94306			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3715		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)		
		,079	ALEXANDER ET AL.	
		er	Art Unit	
	TIMOTI	HY MUSSELMAN	3715	
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears on t	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IE MAILING DATE OF isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. In statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the another after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATI event, however, may a reply be d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed multiple timely filed multiple the mailing date of this concern (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condiction closed in accordance with the p 	2b)∏ This action is tion for allowance exce	pt for formal matters,		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>12-24,26-28,30,31 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>19-24,26-28,30,31 and</u> 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>12-18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to result of the subject to result	is/are withdrawn from one of the state of th	consideration.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the control of the con	dare: a) ☐ accepted or objection to the drawing(solding the correction is required.	b) be held in abeyance. Suired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 Cl	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some * c) None of the price of the certified copies of the price of the price of the certified copies of the price of the pric	of: prity documents have be prity documents have be poies of the priority documents have documents have be priority documents have be priority documents hat ional Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applic ments have been rece kule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

In response to the amendment filed 7/25/2008, claims 12-24, 26-28, 30-31, and 33 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the relevant portion of 35 U.S.C. 103 that forms the basis for the rejections made in this section of the office action;

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over the combination of Bailey (US 5,800,179) and Humes et al. (US 1,889,330).

Regarding claims 12-13, Bailey discloses a capture mechanism configured to engage a peripheral device, and a sensing assembly to detect movement of the peripheral device while engaged. See col. 5: 25-35. Bailey further discloses wherein the system provides force feedback to the user to either enhance or resist motion of the peripheral device based upon the detected movement of the peripheral device based upon the detected movement of the peripheral device. See col. 3: 65-68. Bailey fails to disclose any details pertaining to the clamping apparatus. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to consider systems directed towards quick and convenient clamping of peripheral objects. Hume discloses a device for a screwdriver which automatically grasps and retains the screw (i.e peripheral device) with a plurality of jaws, the jaws actuated by a lever device (sleeve) which is actuated in response to relative movement of the device as the screw is being inserted. See page 1, col. 1: 43-46,

and also page 2, col. 2: 1-14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize this type of clamping mechanism in the system of Bailey in order to improve the device using clamping mechanisms known in the art as taught by Humes, to allow for the quick connection of various surgical tools.

Regarding claim 14, Humes discloses a dimension-adjusting capture mechanism including an outer tubular member and an inner-tubular member at least partially disposed within the outer-tubular member for adjusting the capture mechanism and the inner tubular-member being coupled to the sensing assembly at a distal end of the inner tubular-member. The use of a clamping mechanism of the type disclosed by Humes would have been obvious for the reasons set forth above with regard to claim 12.

Regarding claims 15-18, Bailey discloses an apparatus comprising a first and second pulley, a belt disposed about the first and the second pulley, a trolley configured to move along a guide rail in response to a corresponding movement of the peripheral device when engaged by the capture mechanism (claims 15-18), a rotation-motion sensor to measure rotation of the peripheral device and a translational-motion device to measure translational-motion when engaged by the capture mechanism (claims 16-18), the translational-motion sensor being coupled to the first pulley (claim 17), or an actuator coupled to a second pulley with the actuator being configured to apply force-feedback by controlling a rotation of the second pulley (claim 18). However, Bailey teaches an apparatus comprising a first and second pulley, a belt disposed about the first and the second pulley, a trolley configured to move along a guide rail in response to corresponding movement of the peripheral device when engaged by the capture mechanism, a rotation-motion sensor to measure rotation of the peripheral device and a translational-motion device to measure translational-motion when engaged by the capture mechanism, the translational-motion sensor being coupled to the first pulley (Fig 3), or an actuator coupled to a second pulley with the actuator being

configured to apply force-feedback by controlling a rotation of the second pulley (Figs 2 and 3). See col. 5: 25-35 and also figs 2 and 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-24, 26-28, 30-31, and 33 remain allowed as previously indicated.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments dated 7/25/2008 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY MUSSELMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-1814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 6:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on (571)272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,079 Page 5

Art Unit: 3715

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

/T. M./ Examiner of Art Unit 3715

1000.

/XUAN M. THAI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3715